## CALL IN REQUEST - Option (a)

## A Call in request may be made by:

Any five non-executive Members of council

Date of decision publication: 6 September 2013.  Delegated decision ref:		
Executive Board Minute no: 61		
Decision description:Decisions made by Executive Board in relation to report entitled 'Better Lives for People in Leeds - Report on the Future of Residential Care for Older People and Responses to deputations to Leeds City Council by Supporters of Residents of Manorfield House and Primrose Hill Care Homes'		
Discussion with Decision Maker: Prior to submitting a Call In, a nominated signatory must first contact the relevant officer or Executive Member to discuss their concerns and their reasons for wanting to call in the decision. Part of this discussion must include the Member ascertaining the financial implications of requesting a Call In.  Please identify contact and provide detail.  X Director/author of delegated decision report.  Executive Board Member  Detail of discussion (to include financial implications)  Councillor Graham Latty held a telephone conversation with Dennis Holmes, Deputy Director Adult Social Care - Commissioning Services Strategic Commissioning, on 12 September 2013 during which the issues and concerns were discussed.  The discussion also featured consideration of any financial implications that might arise from a call in, which at the moment are understood to be none.		

### Leeds City Council Scrutiny Support Unit

#### Reasons for Call In:

All requests for Call In must detail why, in the opinion of the signatories, the decision was not taken in accordance with the principles set out in Article 13 of the Council constitution (decision making) (principles of decision making) or where relevant issues do not appear to be taken into consideration. *Please tick the relevant box(es)* and give an explanation.

#### Explanation

We have concerns about the implications for residents of Leeds who require dementia services. The closure of Musgrave Court could create a significant lack of places for older people requiring specialist dementia care and we are concerned that this eventuality has not been properly considered. Mixing individuals requiring dementia care in a standard residential home setting is not appropriate and we have concerns that this could become a reality. What has not been made clear are what plans are in place to deal with this.

Furthermore, closing homes in areas where there is no alternative provision could leave people living in those communities with no local provision of residential care. This will mean that old and vulnerable people could end up located away from their friends and families, isolated from the networks that they rely on.

In addition what will happen to residents of Primrose Hill and Manorfield House care homes as the number of residents begins to reduce over time? Will there be a situation where 1 or 2 individuals are left occupying a home that was intended for far more residents? What will the running costs amount to? What staffing arrangements can cope with this situation and will the answer be to close the home? Has any thought been given for alternative use for the space in the homes?

#### Leeds City Council Scrutiny Support Unit

The following signatories request that the above decision be called in:

1) Signature RNAW LATIN
Print name
2) Signature
Print name ALAN LAMB
3) Signature Sun Jule
Print name Bung Anderson
4) Signature PJS VMWV
Print name PAUC WADSWONTH
5) Signature
Print name

This form should be submitted to the Head of Scrutiny and Member Development (Scrutiny Support Unit, 1st Floor West, Civic Hall) by **5.00pm on the fifth working day after the decision publication date**. The office is open from 9.00am to 5.00pm.

(For further information on the Call In procedure please refer to the Scrutiny Support Unit intranet site, or contact the Unit on 39 51151).

b) ACC. .
DANN J. COLLINS

# Leeds City Council Scrutiny Support Unit

For office use only: (box A)	
Received on behalf of the Ho	ead of Scrutiny and Member Development by:  (signature)
Date:3913	Time: 14:30 SSU ref: 20.03/14./61./66
For office use only: (box B)	
Exemption status checked:	Call In authorised: (res) No Signed: (
Date checked:	, y ·
Signatures checked:	Date: 13.9.13
Receipts given:	
Validity re article 13	
Receipt details:	